

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 14/2017

Shri Pradeep Mokhardkar,
H.No. 434, Talpona,
P.O. Sadolxem,
Canacona Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s.

1. First Appellate Authority
Additional Collector-I South Goa,
Collectorate Building Margao Goa.

2. Public Information Officer Respondents
Deputy Collector & SDO,
Canacona Goa.

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 13/02/2017

Decided on: 04/07/2017

ORDER

1. The brief facts of the case are that the second appeal came to be filed by the appellant Shri Pradeep Markandkar u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act before this commission on 13/02/2017.
2. It is the case of the appellant that he had sought information from the PIO, office of Dy. Collector and SDO, Canacona vide his application dated 16/8/16 filed u/s 6(1) of RTI Act on five points as stated therein in the said application.
3. The said application was responded by the respondent NO. 2 PIO on 15/9/2016 there by furnishing the information at point No. 5 and other the information at point No. 1 to 4 was not furnished to him since the said was not available in their office record.
4. Being not satisfied with the reply of the Respondent No. 2 PIO, the first appeal came to be filed before the Add. Collector I, south Goa

Margao, who is the Respondent No. 1 herein on 13/10/2016 . and the respondent No. 1 First appellate authority by an order dated 18/11/2016 was pleased to dismiss the appeal of the appellant .

5. Being aggrieved by the action of both the Respondents, the appellant approached this commission u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act 2005 on 13/2/2017 thereby seeking direction as against respondent NO. 2 PIO for releasing him all the requested information free of cost as sought by him vide his application dated 16/8/16 and for invoking penal provisions.
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission appellant appeared in person. Respondent No. 1 was represented by Shri Bhiku Dessai . Respondent No. 2 PIO Shri Kedar Naik appeared and filed his reply on 4/7/2017 . The copy of the same was furnished to the appellant .
7. It was submitted on behalf of Respondent No. 2 PIO that the inspection was carried out pertaining to the sound permission file of the year 2015-2016 and the appellant had received the said information which have been duly acknowledgment by the appellant . The appellant also confirm of having carried out inspection and further submitted that he is convinced that information at serial No. 1 to 4 is not available in the records of the PIO. He further submitted that he satisfied with the information provided to him at point No. 5 and as such does not wish to proceed with the present appeal and prayed to pass an appropriate order. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of appeal.
8. In view of his above submission and the endorsement made by the appellant I find no reason to proceed with the present appeal

Hence the Appeal disposed accordingly proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa